

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,348	02/14/2002	Jotham W. Coc	PC10030D	9919
23913 7	7590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
PFIZER INC			COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY	
150 EAST 42ND STREET				
5TH FLOOR - STOP 49			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017-5612			1624	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/075,348	COE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brenda L. Coleman	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 April 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10,15-23 and 25-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10,15-23 and 25-35</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-23 and 25-35 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicants' amendment filed April 13, 2005.

Claims 1 and 16 have been amended.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed April 13, 2005 have been fully considered with the following effect:

1. With regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection labeled paragraph
1) maintained in the last office action, the applicants' amendments and remarks have
been fully considered but they are not found persuasive. The applicants' stated that in
view of the cited references, the individual of ordinary skill in the art would readily be
able to identify specific members of any of the aforementioned classes and formulate
them with the active ingredient of the instant formula I using principles and procedures
that are common knowledge in the art. However as stated in the previous office action,
the additional active ingredient within the terms a muscarinic agonist, a neurotrophic
factor, an agent that slows or arrests Alzheimer's disease, an amyloid aggregation
inhibitior, a secretase inhibitor, a tau kinase inhibitor, a neuronal anitinflammatory agent
and an estrogen-like therapeutic agent is not defined in the specification. The terms are
of indeterminate scope.

The nature of the instant invention, has claims which embrace substituted 10-aza-tricyclo[6.3.1.0^{2,7}]dodeca-2(7),3,5-triene compounds. The instant compounds of formula (I) wherein the additional active ingredients are not described in the disclosure

in such a way the one of ordinary skill in the art would no how to prepare the various compounds suggested by claims 1-6, 8, 10 and 15-35. In view of the lack of direction provided in the specification regarding starting materials, the lack of working examples, and the general unpredictability of chemical reactions, it would take an undue amount of experimentation for one skilled in the art to make the claimed compounds and therefore practice the invention. To be enabling, the specification of a patent must teach those skilled in the art how to make and use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation. The applicants' are not entitled to preempt the efforts of others. The test for determining compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112 is whether the applicants have clearly defined "their" invention not what may be discovered by future research.

As stated in the MPEP, 2164.08 "[t]he Federal Circuit has repeatedly held that the specification must teach those skilled in the art how to make and use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation. In re Wright, 999 F.2d 1557, 1561 27 USPQZd 1510, 1513 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Nevertheless, not everything necessary to practice the invention need be disclosed. In fact, what is well-known is best omitted. In re Buchner, 929 F.2d 660, 661, 18 USPQZd 1331, 1332 (Fed. Cir. 1991). All that is necessary is that one skilled in the art be able to practice the claimed invention, given the level of knowledge and skill in the art. Further the scope of enablement must only bear a reasonable correlation to the scope of the claims. See, e.g., In re Fisher, 427 F.2d 833, 839,166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970). As concerns the breadth of a claim relevant to enablement, the only relevant concern should be whether the scope of enablement provided to one skilled in the art by the disclosure is commensurate with

the scope of protection sought by the claims. In re Moore, 439 F.2d 1232, 1236, 169 USPQ 236, 239 (CCPA 1971). See also Plant Genetic Sys., N.V. v. DeKalb Genetics Corp., 315 F.3d 1335, 1339, 65 USPQZd 1452, 1455 (Fed. Cir. 2003) (alleged pioneer status of invention irrelevant to enablement determination."

Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-23 and 25-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, for reasons of record and stated above.

2. With regards to the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-19, 22, 24-28 and 30-35, labeled paragraph 4) maintained in the last office action, the applicants' requested that this rejection be held in abeyance at this time.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-19, 22, 25-28 and 30-35 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of copending Application No. 10/348,381, for reasons of record and stated above.

3. With regards to the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-19, 22, 24-28 and 30-35, labeled paragraph 5) maintained in the last office action, the applicants' requested that this rejection be held in abeyance at this time.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-19, 22, 25-28 and 30-35 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being

unpatentable over claims 1-34 of copending Application No. 10/348,399, for reasons of record and stated above.

- 4. With regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejections labeled 4c) maintained in the last office action, the applicant's amendments and remarks have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - c) The applicants' stated that claim 16 has been amended to correct the nomenclature for "acetamide" species therein wherein the point of attachment of the ring system to the acetamide N atom was inadvertently and unintentionally denoted as position 1. However, the nomenclature of the amended speces N-[10-azatricyclo[6.3.1.0^{2,7}]dodeca-2(7),3,5-trien-4-yl]acetamide is such that the 10-azatricyclo[6.3.1.0^{2,7}]dodeca-2(7),3,5-trien-4-yl ring system is attached to the nitrogen atom of the acetamide as shown by the nomenclature assigned by ChemDraw.

 $N-(10-\text{Aza-tricyclo}[6.3.1.0^{2,7}]\text{dodeca-2(7),3,5-trien-4-yl})$ -acetamide

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, for reasons of record and stated above.

In view of the amendment dated April 13, 2005, the following new grounds of rejection apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reason(s) apply:
 - a) Claim 16 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by "acetamida" in the nomenclature of the species N-[10azatricyclo[6.3.1.0^{2,7}]dodeca-2(7),3,5-trien-4-yl]acetamida.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,348

Art Unit: 1624

Page 7

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Brenda Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

May 15, 2005